Reply to Office action of 07/22/2009

## **REMARKS**

## Rejection of the claims under 35 USC §102:

Claims 13-16, 19-20, 22-27, 30, 33-34, 36-37 and 39 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Trubetskoy et al. (U.S. Patent 7,098.032). With this letter, Applicants have filed a Declaration under 37 C.F.R. 1.132 to overcome the rejection.

Claims 13-16, 19-20, 22-27, 30, 33-34, 36-37 and 39 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Trubetskoy et al. (U.S. Patent Publication 20038/0026841). With this letter, Applicants have filed a Declaration under 37 C.F.R. 1.132 to overcome the rejection.

The Examiner's objections and rejections are now believed to be overcome by this response to the Office Action. In view of Applicants' amendment and arguments, it is submitted that claims 13-16, 19, 22-26, 30, 33-34, and 36-37 should be allowable.

Respectfully submitted,

/Kirk Ekena/

Kirk Ekena, PhD, Reg. No. 56,672 Roche Madison Inc. 465 Science Drive, Suite C Madison, WI 53711 608-316-3896 I hereby certify that this correspondence is being transmitted to the USPTO on this date: 10/21/2009

/Kirk Ekena/ Kirk Ekena